

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,422	22 11/27/2001		Anne Louise Cordia	U 013734-4	2131
140	7590	02/15/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & 26 WEST 6		ĒT		RAMIREZ,	RAMON O
NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3632	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Comment	09/995,422	CORDIA, ANNE LOUISE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 48-65,68-71,74,77 and 79-87 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 49-65,68-71,77 and 79-87 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 48 and 74 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. wed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the second in Application in the second in the seco	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/995,422

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This Office Action corresponds to amendment filed January 25, 2006.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. A review of the Final Action found that claim 48 was not addressed. Applicant leaped to the conclusion that the claim was allowed but the Office Action never stated that the claim was found allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 48 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulze (Pat No 1,386,078).

The patent to Schulze shows an accessory (a spring clamp) for a container (plate) comprising first and second clamping members, the first clamping member (2) comprising a plurality of extending portions (2, 2) defining first and second clamping surfaces (areas contacting the plate), the second clamping member (1) defining a third clamping surface (area contacting the plate); the third clamping surface disposed between the first and second clamping surfaces (Figs 1 and 3), with each of the extending portions

Application/Control Number: 09/995,422

Art Unit: 3632

extending away from the vertical axis of the second clamping member. The second clamping member comprising a holder having third (6) and fourth (8) clamping surfaces holding an object. As to the display means recited for example in claim 63, any of the flat surfaces shown by Schulze in Figs 1 and 3, can be considered as a means for displaying information since they are capable of receiving written information, directly (writing on) or indirectly (like a card). As to claim 53, Fig 2 of Schulze shows the first and second clamping members forming a U-shaped defining a space for receiving the container. The material from which the device is made is considered an obvious matter of engineering having no patentable significance. The deformation of the members of the instant invention is inherently to the material from which the device is made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 49-65, 68-71, 77 and 79-87 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As indicated above, claim 48 was never meant to be allowable. The examiner failed to reject the claim in the last Office Action but no indication of allowable subject matter was mentioned. As to claim 74, Applicant assumes the claim is allowed but never argued why. Further, contrary to claim 48, this claim was rejected on art. Applicant just presented the claim as an independent claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,422

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT OLSZEWSKI can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

ROR February 2, 2006